Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications Volume 4, Issue 3, 2022, 47–62 doi: 10.30495/maca.2022.1952999.1050 ISSN 2716-9898

# On fixed point results in *F*-metric space with applications to neutral differential equations

Mohammed Shehu Shagari\*, Yahaya Sirajo, and Ibrahim Aliyu Fulatan

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a new concept of Ćirić-Kannan  $-\alpha - \psi$ -contractions in the setting of *F*-metric space is introduced. Using this idea, endowed with suitable hypotheses, some fixed point theorems for such mappings in *F*-complete *F*-metric space are established. As an application, novel existence conditions for a solution of nonlinear neutral differential equations are investigated to show the usability of our obtained results.

## 1. Introduction

Fixed point theory is a highly useful division of mathematics. Its fundamental idea hinges on conditions for existence of fixed points of maps. The Banach-Caccioppoli theorem [7] is the first limelight result in this field. It plays a significant role in several branches of sciences and has been improved by more than a few authors, for instance, see [2, 4, 8, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. Nadler [18] initiated the notions of multi-valued map and established corresponding fixed point results for such maps. Along the line, Samet etal. [19] launched the concept of  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions using  $\alpha$ -admissible maps and presented some fixed point theorems which include the contraction mapping principle due to Banach as a special case. At present, the notion of  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions has been generalized by several authors, for instance, see [3, 16] and the references therein.

Not long ago, the idea of F-metric space was presented in [14] and a generalized version of the Banach fixed point result in the setting of F-metric space was

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10; 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Ćiric-Kannan- $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction; fixed point, nonlinear neutral differential equation, F-metric space,  $\alpha$ -admissible

#### SHAGARI, SIRAJO, AND FULATAN

established. Meanwhile, researchers have picked keen interests in extending results in the generalized metric space; see for instance, [1, 11]. In this paper, we define a new concept of *Ćirić*-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction in the framework of *F*-metric space. Some illustrative examples and applications to nonlinear neutral differential equations are considered to show the usability of our obtained results.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we record specific concepts that will be needed in the sequel. Represent by  $\Omega$ , the family of isotone functions  $\psi$  on  $[0,\infty)$  satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi^j(t) < +\infty \quad \forall \ 0 < t$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [19] For every self map  $\psi$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , the following hold: If  $\psi$  is increasing, 0 < t,  $0 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \psi^j(t)$  yields  $t > \psi(t)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [19] Let (U, d) be an *F*-metric space,  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$  be a map. We call  $\Lambda$  an  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction if  $\alpha : U \times U \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\psi \in \Omega$  with

$$d(\Lambda\alpha,\Lambda\rho)\alpha(\alpha,\rho) \le \psi(d(\alpha,\rho))$$

**Definition 2.2.** [19] Let  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$  and  $\alpha : U \times U \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  be maps. We call  $\Lambda$  an  $\alpha$ -admissible if

 $1 \leq \alpha(\alpha, \rho)$  yields  $\alpha(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \geq 1$ ,  $\forall \alpha, \rho \in U$ .

**Example 2.3.** [19] Let  $U = (0, \infty)$ . Define  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$  and  $\alpha : U \times U \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\Lambda \alpha = \ln(\alpha), \forall \alpha \in U$$

and

$$\alpha(\alpha, \rho) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \rho \le \alpha \\ 0, & \text{if } \rho > \alpha \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\Lambda$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible.

**Definition 2.4.** [14] Let F be the class of map  $g: (0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with:

 $(F_1)$  g is increasing, meaning

$$s < t$$
 implies  $g(s) \le g(t)$ .

(F<sub>2</sub>) For any sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  in  $(0,\infty)$ ,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} t_j = 0 \iff \lim_{j \to \infty} g(t_j) = -\infty.$$

**Definition 2.5.** [14] Let  $\emptyset \neq U$ ,  $D: U \times U \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a map. Suppose  $(g, k) \in F \times [0, \infty)$  with

 $(D1) \ (\alpha, \rho) \in U \times U, \ \alpha = \rho \iff 0 = D(\alpha, \rho);$ 

(D2)  $D(\alpha, \rho)$  and  $D(\rho, \alpha)$  are equal;

(D3) for every  $(\alpha, \rho) \in U \times U$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_0 \ge 2$  and for every sequence  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset U$ with  $(v_1, v_{n_0}) = (\alpha, \rho)$ , we have

$$D(\alpha, \rho) > 0 \Rightarrow g(D(\alpha, \rho)) \le g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_0-1} D(v_j, v_{j+1})\right) + k$$

Then, D is called an F-metric on U and (U, D) is said to be an F-metric space.

**Example 2.6.** The set of all reals is an *F*-metric space if we take *D* as

$$D(\alpha, \rho) = \begin{cases} (\alpha - \rho)^2, & \text{if } [0, 3] \times [0, 3\xi] \ni (\alpha, \rho), 1 \le \xi \\ |\alpha - \rho|, & \text{if } (\alpha, \rho) \notin [0, 3\xi] \times [0, 3], 1 \le \xi \end{cases}$$

where  $g(t) = \ln(t)$  and  $k = \ln(3\xi)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [14] Let (U, D) be an *F*-metric space.

- (i) A sequence  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  in U is F-convergent to  $\alpha \in U$  if  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  is convergent with respect to D.
- (ii)  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is *F*-Cauchy if

$$0 = \lim_{j,l \to \infty} D(\alpha_j, \alpha_l).$$

(iii) (U, D) is F-complete if all F-Cauchy sequences in U is F-convergent to some point of U.

The following refinement of Banach-Caccioppoli result is due to Jlei and Samet [14].

**Theorem 2.2.** [14] Let (U, D) be an *F*-complete *F*-metric space ,  $g: U \longrightarrow U$  be a map. Assume  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  with

$$D(g(\alpha), g(\rho)) \le \eta D(\alpha, \rho).$$

Then g has a unique fixed point in U. Moreover, for every  $\alpha_0 \in U$ , the sequence  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  defined by  $\alpha_{j+1} = g(\alpha_j), j \in \mathbb{N}$  is F-convergent

# 3. Main Results

In this section, we present the idea of Ćirić-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction in the framework of *F*-metric space and establish a few fixed point results under some suitable hypotheses.

**Definition 3.1.** Let (U, D) be an *F*-metric space and  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$  be a map. We call  $\Lambda$  a Kannan-type contraction, if there exists  $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$D(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \le \eta \left[ D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha) + D(\rho, \Lambda \rho) \right], \quad \forall \alpha, \rho \in U.$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let (U, D) be an *F*-metric space  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$  be a map. We call  $\Lambda$  a *Ćirić*-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction, if there exist  $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\psi \in \Omega$  and  $\alpha : U \times U \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\alpha(\alpha,\rho)D(\Lambda\alpha,\Lambda\rho) \le \psi\left(A(\alpha,\rho)\right) + \eta\left[D(\alpha,\Lambda\alpha) + D(\rho,\Lambda\rho)\right]$$
(1)

where

$$A(\alpha, \rho) = \max\{D(\alpha, \rho), D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha), D(\rho, \Lambda \rho)\}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (U, D) be an F-complete F-metric space,  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$  be  $\alpha$ -admissible and Ćirić-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction. Suppose that

- (i)  $\Lambda$  is a Kannan-type contraction;
- (ii) we have an  $\alpha_0 \in U$  with  $\alpha(\alpha_0, \Lambda \alpha_0) \geq 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\alpha(\alpha, \rho) \geq 1$ , for all  $\alpha, \rho \in U$ ;
- (iv) every  $g \in F$  satisfies  $g(s) < g(t) \iff s < t, s, t > 0$ .

Then,  $\Lambda$  has a unique fixed point in U.

PROOF. Let  $\alpha_0 \in U$  and  $\alpha_j = \Lambda \alpha_{j-1}, j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$  such that  $\alpha(\alpha_0, \Lambda \alpha_0) \geq 1$ . If  $\alpha_{j-1} = \alpha_j$ , for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\alpha^* = \alpha_j$  is a fixed point of  $\Lambda$ . If  $\alpha_{j-1} \neq \alpha_j$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $\alpha$ -admissibility of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \geq 1$  implies  $\alpha(\Lambda \alpha_0, \Lambda \alpha_1) = \alpha(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq 1$ . This process can be repeated recursively to have  $\alpha(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq j$ . By hypothesis,

$$D(\Lambda \alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda \alpha_j) \leq D(\Lambda \alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda \alpha_j) \alpha(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j)$$
  
$$\leq \psi (A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j)) + \eta [D(\alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda \alpha_{j-1}) + D(\alpha_j, \Lambda \alpha_j)]$$
  
$$\leq \psi (A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j)) + \eta [D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1})]$$
(2)

By condition (i), for  $\lambda = \frac{\eta}{1-\eta}$ , we have

$$D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) = D(\Lambda \alpha_{j-2}, \Lambda \alpha_{j-1})$$

$$\leq \eta \left[ D(\alpha_{j-2}, \Lambda \alpha_{j-2}) + D(\alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda \alpha_{j-1}) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta}{1-\eta} D(\alpha_{j-2}, \alpha_{j-1})$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{\eta}{1-\eta} \right)^2 D(\alpha_{j-3}, \alpha_{j-2})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \lambda^j D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1).$$
(3)

Therefore, (2) becomes

$$D(\Lambda \alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda \alpha_j) \leq \psi \left( A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) \right) + 2\eta \lambda^j D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1).$$
(4)

Now, consider

$$A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) = \max \{ D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda \alpha_{j-1}), D(\alpha_j, \Lambda \alpha_j) \}$$
  
= 
$$\max \{ D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha_{j-1}, a\alpha_j), D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}) \}$$
  
= 
$$\max \{ D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}) \}.$$

If max  $\{D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1})\} = D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1})$ , then from (4), we get

$$D(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j+1}) \leq \psi \left( D(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j+1}) \right) + 2\eta \lambda^{j} D(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1})$$
  
$$< D(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j+1}) + 2\eta \lambda^{j} D(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}).$$
(5)

Letting  $\lambda \longrightarrow 0^+$  in (5), we obtain  $D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}) < D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1})$ , is impossible. Whence,

$$\max \left\{ D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}) \right\} = D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j).$$

Therefore, (4) becomes

$$D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}) \le \psi \left( D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) \right) + 2\eta \lambda^j D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1).$$
(6)

Repeating these steps recursively, we get

$$D(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}) \le \psi^j (D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)) + 2\eta \lambda^j D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1), \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(7)

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $(g, k) \in F \times \mathbb{R}^+$  with (D3) satisfied. From  $(F_2)$ , we get  $0 < \delta$  with

$$t < \delta$$
 yielding  $g(t) < g(\epsilon) - k.$  (8)

Let  $j(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$0 < \left[\sum_{j \ge j(\epsilon)} \left(\psi^j(D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)) + 2\eta \lambda^j D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)\right)\right] < \delta.$$

Hence, by (8) and  $(F_1)$ , we have

$$g\left[\sum_{i=j}^{m-1}\psi^{i}(D(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1}))+2\eta D(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1})\sum_{i=j}^{m-1}\lambda^{i}\right] \leq g\left[\sum_{j\geq j(\epsilon)}\psi^{j}(D(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1}))+2\eta D(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1})\sum_{j\geq j(\epsilon)}\lambda^{j}\right] < g(\epsilon)-k,$$

$$(9)$$

where  $m > j \ge j(\epsilon)$ . Now, using (D3), (6) and (9),  $D(a_j, a_m) > 0$  implies

$$g(D(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)) \leq g\left[\sum_{i=j}^{m-1} \psi^i(D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)) + 2\eta D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \sum_{i=j}^{m-1} \lambda^i\right] + k$$
  
$$\leq g\left[\sum_{j \geq j(\epsilon)} \psi^j(D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)) + 2\eta D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \sum_{j \geq j(\epsilon)} \lambda^j\right] + k$$
  
$$< g(\epsilon),$$

which implies by condition (iv) that  $\lim_{j,m\to+\infty} D(\alpha_j, \alpha_m) = 0$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  is *F*-Cauchy. Completeness of (U, D) yields  $\alpha^* \in U$  such that  $\alpha_j \longrightarrow \alpha^*$  as  $j \longrightarrow \infty$ .

To see that  $\alpha^*$  is a fixed point of  $\Lambda$ , assume that  $\alpha^* = \Lambda \alpha^*$  so that  $D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) > 0$ with  $\alpha(\alpha^*, \alpha_{j-1}) \ge 1, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (D3), we get

$$g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*)) \leq g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)) + k$$

$$\leq g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha_{j-1}) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)) + k$$

$$\leq g(\alpha(\alpha^*, \alpha_{j-1})D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha_{j-1}) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)) + k$$

$$\leq g[\psi(A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*)) + \eta(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda\alpha_{j-1}) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)] + k$$

$$\leq g[\psi(A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*)) + \eta(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)] + k$$

where

$$A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*) = \max\{D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*), D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)\}$$

If

$$\max\{D(\alpha_{j-1},\alpha^*), D(\alpha_{j-1},\alpha_j), D(\alpha^*,\Lambda\alpha^*)\} = D(\alpha_{j-1},\alpha^*),$$

then (10) reduces to

$$g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*)) \leq g\left[\psi(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*)) + \eta\left(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)\right) + D(\alpha^*, \alpha_j)\right] + k$$
  
$$< g\left[D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*) + \eta\left(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)\right) + D(\alpha^*, \alpha_j)\right]$$
  
$$+k. \tag{11}$$

By condition (iv), eq. (11) implies that

$$D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) < D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*) + [\eta(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*)) + D(\alpha^*, \alpha_j)] + k \quad (12)$$
  
As  $j \longrightarrow \infty$  in (12), we have

$$D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) < \eta D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) + k.$$
(13)

Again, letting  $k \longrightarrow 0^+$  in(13), we have the contradiction  $D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) < \eta D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*)$ , since  $\eta < 1$ .

If 
$$\max\{D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*), D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*)\} = D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j),$$

then (10) reduces to

$$g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*)) < g[D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \alpha_j) + \eta (D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*))] + k.$$

On similar steps, by condition (iv),

$$D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*) < D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \alpha_j) + \eta \left[ D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*) \right].$$
(14)

Taking limit as  $j \longrightarrow \infty$  in (14), yields

$$D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) < \eta D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*),$$

a contradiction, given that  $\eta < 1$ . So, if

$$\max\{D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*), D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*)\} = D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*),$$

then (10) reduces to

$$g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*,\alpha^*)) < g\left[D(\alpha^*,\Lambda\alpha^*) + D(\alpha^*,\alpha_j) + \eta\left(D(\alpha_{j-1},\alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*,\Lambda\alpha^*)\right)\right] + k.$$

By (iv),

$$D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*) < D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*) + D(\alpha^*, \alpha_j) + \eta \left[ D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*) \right].$$
(15)

Consequently,

$$D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) < \lim_{j \to \infty} \left( D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) + D(\alpha^*, \alpha_j) + \eta \left[ D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) \right] \right)$$
  
$$< D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) + \eta D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*)$$
  
$$< D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \alpha^*) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \longrightarrow 0^+,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $D(\alpha^*, \Lambda a^*) = 0$ .

To achieve uniqueness of fixed point of  $\Lambda$ , suppose  $\alpha^* \neq \rho^*$  are two fixed points of  $\Lambda$ . Then by condition (*iii*), we get

$$D(\alpha^*, \rho^*) = D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \Lambda \rho^*)$$

$$\leq \alpha(\alpha^*, \rho^*) D(\Lambda \alpha^*, \Lambda \rho^*)$$

$$\leq \psi \left( A(\alpha^*, \rho^*) \right) + \eta \left[ D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*) + D(\rho^*, \Lambda \rho^*) \right]$$

$$\leq \psi \left( \max\{ D(\alpha^*, \rho^*), D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*), D(\rho^*, \Lambda \rho^*) \} \right) + \eta(0)$$

$$\leq \psi(D(\alpha^*, \rho^*)) < D(\alpha^*, \rho^*),$$

a contradiction. It follows that  $\alpha^* = \rho^*$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $U = \mathbb{R}$  and define  $D: U \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$D(\alpha, \rho) = |\alpha - \rho|.$$

Note that D is an F-metric with  $f(t) = \ln(t)$  and k = 0. Define  $\Lambda: U \longrightarrow U$  by

$$\Lambda \alpha = \frac{\alpha + 5}{2}$$

and

$$\alpha(\alpha, \rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}, & \text{if } \alpha, \rho \in [0, \infty) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Choose  $\psi(t) = \frac{2t}{3}$  for  $t \ge 0$ . Clearly,  $\Lambda$  is an Kannan-type contraction and Ćirić-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive. In fact, for all  $\alpha, \rho \in U$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\alpha,\rho)D(\Lambda\alpha,\Lambda\rho) &\leq \frac{2}{3}|\alpha-\rho| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3}\left(A(\alpha,\rho)\right) \\ &\leq \psi(A(\alpha,\rho)) + \eta\left[D(\alpha,\Lambda\alpha) + D(\rho,\Lambda\rho)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for  $\alpha_0 = 5$ , we have  $\alpha(\alpha_0, \Lambda \alpha_0) \geq 1$ . By definition of  $\Lambda$  and  $\alpha$ , it is apparent that for all  $\alpha, \rho \in U$ ,  $\alpha(\alpha, \rho) \geq 1$  implies  $\alpha(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \geq 1$ . Hence,  $\Lambda$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible. Consequently, all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 hold. It can be seen that  $\alpha^* = 5$  is a fixed point of  $\Lambda$ .

By equating  $A(\alpha, \rho)$  to  $D(\alpha, \rho)$  in Theorem 3.1, yields:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let (U, D) be an F-complete F-metric space and  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$ be  $\alpha$ -admissible with

$$\alpha(\alpha, \rho) D(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \le \psi(D(\alpha, \rho)).$$

Moreover, if there exists  $\alpha_0 \in U$  such that  $\alpha(\alpha_0, \Lambda \alpha_0) \geq 1$ , then  $\Lambda$  has a fixed point in U.

**Remark 3.4.** Set  $\eta = 0$ ,  $\alpha(\alpha, \rho) = 1$  and  $\psi(t) = \theta t$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  in Corollary **3.2**, gives **[14**, Theorem 1.1].

Further, in Theorem 3.1, several corollaries can be obtained if we set  $\alpha(\alpha, \rho) = 1$ and the *Cirić*-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive condition by

- (i)  $D(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \le \psi(D(\alpha, \rho)) + \eta [D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha) + D(\rho, \Lambda \rho)]$
- (ii)  $D(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \leq \psi \left( \max\{D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha), D(\rho, \Lambda \rho)\} \right) + \eta [D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha) + D(\rho, \Lambda \rho)]$ (iii)  $D(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \leq \psi \left( \frac{D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha) + D(\rho, \Lambda \rho)}{2} \right) + \eta [D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha) + D(\rho, \Lambda \rho)].$

By adding continuity condition to the axioms of Theorem 3.1, yields the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let (U, D) be an F-complete F-metric space,  $\Lambda : U \longrightarrow U$  be  $\alpha$ -admissible and Cirić-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction. Suppose:

- (i)  $\Lambda$  is a Kannan-type contraction;
- (ii) we have an  $\alpha_0 \in U$  such that  $\alpha(\alpha_0, \Lambda \alpha_0) > 1$ ;
- (iii) every  $g \in F$  satisfies  $g(s) < g(t) \iff s < t$ ;
- (iv) the function  $g \in F$  is continuous and every  $\psi \in \Omega$  is taken to be continuous and satisfies  $g(t) > g(\psi(t) + \eta t) + k$ , 0 < t,  $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $k \ge 0$ .

Then  $\Lambda$  has a unique fixed point.

PROOF. In line with arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have that  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is *F*-Cauchy, hence by completeness of (U, D), there exists  $\alpha^* \in U$  with

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*) = 0.$$
(16)

To show that  $\alpha^* = \Lambda \alpha^*$ , assume that  $D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*) = t > 0$  with  $\alpha(\alpha^*, \alpha_{j-1}) \ge 1, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (D3) and the fact that  $\Lambda$  is a C*iric*-Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction, we get

$$g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*)) \leq g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)) + k$$

$$\leq g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha_{j-1}) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)) + k$$

$$\leq g(\alpha(\alpha^*, \alpha_{j-1})D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha_{j-1}) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)) + k$$

$$\leq g[\psi(A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*)) + \eta(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \Lambda\alpha_{j-1}) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)] + k$$

$$\leq g[\psi(A(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha^*)) + \eta(D(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) + D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)) + D(\alpha_j, \alpha^*)] + k$$

Taking limit in (17) as  $j \longrightarrow \infty$  and using (16) together with continuity of g and  $\psi$ , we obtain

$$g(D(\Lambda\alpha^*, \alpha^*)) \le g\left(\psi(D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)) + \eta D(\alpha^*, \Lambda\alpha^*)\right) + k,$$

a contradiction in line with the hypothesis (iv). Consequently,  $D(\alpha^*, \Lambda \alpha^*) = 0$ . Whence,  $\alpha^*$  is a fixed point of  $\Lambda$ .

## Coupled fixed points

We recall some relevant concepts and connect our main result with the ideas in [6].

**Definition 3.5.** [6] Let  $G: U \times U \longrightarrow U$  be a map. We call  $(\alpha, \rho) \in U \times U$  a coupled fixed point of G if

$$G(\alpha, \rho) = \alpha$$
 and  $G(\rho, \alpha) = \rho$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let (U, D) be an *F*-metric space and  $G: U \times U \longrightarrow U$  be a map. Define  $\Lambda: U \times U \longrightarrow U \times U$  by

$$\Lambda(\alpha, \rho) = (G(\alpha, \rho), G(\rho, \alpha)), \forall (\alpha, \rho) \in U \times U.$$
(18)

Then  $(\alpha, \rho)$  is mapped by G to itself  $\iff (\alpha, \rho)$  is mapped by  $\Lambda$  to itself.

**PROOF.** Let  $\Lambda(\alpha, \rho) = (\alpha, \rho)$ . Whence,

$$(\alpha, \rho) = \Lambda(\alpha, \rho) = (G(\alpha, \rho), G(\rho, \alpha))$$

implies

$$\alpha = G(\alpha, \rho)$$
 and  $\rho = G(\rho, \alpha)$ .

Again, suppose  $(\alpha, \rho)$  is a coupled fixed point of G;

$$\alpha = G(\alpha, \rho)$$
 and  $\rho = G(\rho, \alpha)$ .

Now,

$$\Lambda(\alpha, \rho) = (G(\alpha, \rho), G(\rho, \alpha) = (\alpha, \rho).)$$

Hence,  $(\alpha, \rho)$  is a fixed point of  $\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let (U, D) be an *F*-complete *F*-metric space and  $G : U \times U \longrightarrow U$ a map. Suppose that there exist  $\psi \in \Omega$  and a map  $\alpha : U^2 \times U^2 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for all  $(\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \in U \times U$ ,

$$\alpha\left((\alpha,\rho),(\beta,\delta)\right) \ge 1 \Rightarrow \alpha\left((G(\alpha,\rho)),G(\rho,\alpha)\right),\left(G(\beta,\delta),G(\delta,\beta)\right) \ge 1$$

(ii) there exists  $(\alpha_0, \rho_0) \in U \times U$  such that

$$\alpha\left((\alpha_0,\rho_0), (G(\alpha_0,\rho_0), G(\rho_0,\alpha_0))\right) \ge 1$$

and

$$\alpha\left(G(\rho_0, \alpha_0), G(\alpha_0, \rho_0)\right) \ge 1;$$

(iii) for all 
$$(\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \in U^2 \times U^2$$
, there exists  $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$\alpha \left( (\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) D(G(\alpha, \rho), G(\beta, \delta)) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \psi \left( A(\alpha, \beta, \beta, \delta) \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left[ D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha) + D(\rho, \Lambda \rho) \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha, \rho, \beta, \delta) &= \max\{D(\alpha, \beta) + D(\rho, \delta), D(\alpha, G(\alpha, \rho)) + D(\rho, G(\rho, \alpha)), \\ D(\beta, G(\beta, \delta)) + D(\delta, G(\delta, \beta))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then G has a coupled fixed point.

PROOF. First, we will take the situation to an *F*-complete space  $(Y, D_1)$ , with  $U \times U = Y$  and

$$D_1((\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta)) = D(\alpha, \beta) + D(\rho, \delta), \forall (\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \in U^2 \times U^2.$$

From (iii),

$$\alpha\left((\alpha,\rho),(\beta,\delta)\right) D\left(G(\alpha,\rho),G(\beta,\delta)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(A(\alpha,\rho,\beta,\delta)\right) \\ +\frac{\eta}{2}\left[D(\alpha,\Lambda\alpha)+D(\rho,\Lambda\rho)\right]$$
(19)

and

$$\alpha\left((\delta,\beta),(\rho,\alpha)\right) D\left(G(\delta,\beta),G(\rho,\beta)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(A(\alpha,\rho,\beta,\delta)\right) + \frac{\eta}{2}\left[D(\alpha,\Lambda\alpha) + D(\rho,\Lambda\rho)\right]$$
(20)

Adding (19) and (20), we get

$$\pi(r, u)D_1(\Lambda r, \Lambda u) \le \psi(r, u) + \eta \left[D(\alpha, \Lambda \alpha) + D(\rho, \Lambda \rho)\right]$$
(21)

for 
$$r = (\alpha, \rho), u = (\beta, \delta)$$
 in Y, with  $\pi : Y \times Y \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  is taken as  

$$\pi(r, u) = \min\{\alpha((\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta)), \alpha((\delta, \beta), (\rho, \alpha))\}$$

and  $\Lambda : Y \longrightarrow Y$  is defined by (18). It follows that  $\Lambda$  is a Ćirić-Kannan  $\pi - \psi$  contractive mapping.

Let  $r = (r_1, r_2), u = (u_1, u_2) \in Y$  such that  $1 \leq \pi(u, r)$ . Whence, (i) yields  $1 \leq \pi(\Lambda r, \Lambda u)$ ; meaning  $\Lambda$  is  $\pi$ -admissible. Further, by (ii), we get  $(\alpha_0, \rho_0) \in Y$  with

$$\pi\left((\alpha_0,\rho_0),\Lambda(\alpha_0,\rho_0)\geq 1\right)$$
 .

Therefore, all the axioms of Theorem 3.1 hold. Consequently, we infer the conclusion.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Example 3.6.** Let  $U = [0, \infty)$  be equipped with *F*-metric *D* given by

$$D(\alpha, \rho) = \begin{cases} (\alpha - \rho)^2, & \text{if } (\alpha, \rho) \in [0, 3] \times [0, 3] \\ |\alpha - \rho|, & \text{if } (\alpha, \rho) \notin [0, 3] \times [0, 3] \end{cases}$$

where  $g(t) = \ln(t)$ ,  $k = \ln(3)$ . Then (U, D) is an *F*-complete *F*-metric space. Define *G* as

$$G(\alpha, \rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}(\alpha - \rho), & \text{if } \alpha \ge \rho\\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha < \rho \end{cases}$$

and  $\alpha: U^2 \times U^2 \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$  by

$$\alpha\left((\alpha,\rho),(\beta,\delta)\right) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \alpha \ge \rho, \beta \ge \delta\\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha < \rho, \beta < \delta \end{cases}$$

and  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Now, for  $(\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \in U^2 \times U^2$ , Case (i) If  $(\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \in [0, 3] \times [0, 3]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\left((\alpha,\rho),(\beta,\delta)\right) D(G(\alpha,\rho),G(\beta,\delta)) &\leq \frac{1}{18} \left[D(\alpha,\beta) + D(\rho,\delta)\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{18} A\left(\alpha,\rho,\beta,\delta\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \psi\left(A(\alpha,\rho,\beta,\delta)\right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left[D(\alpha,\Lambda\alpha) + D(\rho,\Lambda\rho)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case(ii) If  $(\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \notin [0, 3] \times [0, 3]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\left((\alpha,\rho),(\beta,\delta)\right) D(G(\alpha,\rho),G(\beta,\delta)) &\leq \frac{1}{6} \left[D(\alpha,\beta) + D(\rho,\delta)\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \psi\left(A(\alpha,\rho,\beta,\delta)\right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left[D(\alpha,\Lambda\alpha) + D(\rho,\Lambda\rho)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $(\alpha_0, \rho_0) = (1, 1)$ , conditions (ii) and (iii) hold. Hence, all the axioms of Theorem 3.5 hold. Whence, (0, 0) is a coupled fixed point of G.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let (U, D) be an *F*-complete *F*-metric space and  $G: U \times U \longrightarrow U$  a map. Suppose that there exist  $\psi \in \Omega$  and a map  $\alpha : U^2 \times U^2 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i) for all  $(\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \in U^2 \times U^2$ ,

$$\alpha\left((\alpha,\rho),(\beta,\delta)\right) \ge 1 \Rightarrow \alpha\left((G(\alpha,\rho),G(\rho,\alpha)),(G(\beta,\delta),G(\delta,\beta))\right) \ge 1;$$

(ii) there exists  $(\alpha_0, \rho_0) \in U \times U$  with

$$\alpha\left((\alpha_0, \rho_0), (G(\alpha_0, \rho_0), G(\rho_0, \alpha_0))\right) \ge 1$$

and

$$\alpha\left(G(\rho_0, \alpha_0), G(\alpha_0, \rho_0)\right) \ge 1;$$

(iii) for all 
$$(\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta) \in U^2 \times U^2,$$
  
 $\alpha ((\alpha, \rho), (\beta, \delta)) D(G(\alpha, \rho), G(\beta, \delta)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \psi (A(\alpha, \rho, \beta, \delta)),$ 

where

 $\max\{D(\alpha,\beta) + D(\rho,\delta), D(\alpha, G(\alpha,\rho)) + D(\rho, G(\rho,\alpha)), D(\beta, G(\beta,\delta)) + D(\delta, G(\delta,\beta))\} = A(\alpha, \rho, \beta, \delta).$ 

Then G has a coupled fixed point.

**PROOF.** Setting  $\eta = 0$  in Theorem 3.5 completes the proof.

#### 4. Applications

In this section, using Theorem 3.1, we provide existence conditions for a solution of a nonlinear neutral differential equation given as

$$\alpha'(t) = -w(t)\alpha(t) + v(t)h(\alpha(t) - r(t)) + z(t)\alpha'(t - r(t))$$
(22)

where r(t), w(t) are continuous, z(t) is continuously differentiable and r(t) > 0 for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is twice continuously differentiable.

**Lemma 4.1.** [9] Let  $1 \leq r'(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $\alpha(t)$  is a solution of (22) iff

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= \left( \alpha(0) - \frac{z(0)}{1 - r'(0)} \alpha(-r(0)) \right) e^{-\int_0^t w(s)ds} \\ &+ \frac{z(t)}{1 - r'(t)} \alpha(t - r(t)) \\ &- \int_0^t \left( g(u) \alpha(u - r(u)) - v(u) h(\alpha(u - r(u))) \right) e^{-\int_u^t w(s)ds} du, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(u) = \frac{r''(u)z(u) + (z'(u) + z(u)w(u))(1 - r'(u))}{(1 - r'(u))^2}$$
(23)

Suppose  $\gamma : (-\infty, 0] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous and bounded function. We call  $\alpha(t) := \alpha(t, 0, \gamma)$  is a solution of (22) if  $\alpha(t) = \gamma(t)$ ,  $0 \ge t$  and (22) holds for  $t \ge 0$ . Take  $U = C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  to be the space of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define  $A_{\gamma}$  as

$$A_{\gamma} = \{ \varphi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varphi(t) = \gamma(t), \quad \text{if} \quad t \le 0, \varphi(t) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \longrightarrow \infty, \varphi \in U \}.$$

Endowed with Chebyshev norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $A_{\gamma}$  becomes a Banach space. Define  $\rho: A_{\gamma} \times A_{\gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\rho(t, t^*) = \|t - t^*\| = \sup_{\alpha \in I} |t(\alpha) - t(\alpha^*)| \quad t, t^* \in A_{\gamma}.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let the function  $\Lambda : A_{\gamma} \longrightarrow A_{\gamma}$  be defined as

$$(\Lambda \varphi)(t) = \left(\varphi(0) - \frac{z(0)}{1 - r'(0)}\varphi(-r(0))\right) e^{-\int_0^t w(s)ds} + \frac{z(t)}{1 - r'(t)}\varphi(t - r(t)) - \int_0^t (g(u)\varphi(u - r(u)) - v(u)h(\varphi(u) - r(u))) e^{-\int_u^t w(s)ds}du,$$
(24)

Suppose that we have:

(i) 
$$0 \leq \lambda$$
,  $\eta \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\psi \in \Omega$  with  

$$\int_{0}^{t} |g(u) \left(\varphi(u - r(u)) - \phi(u - r(u))\right)| e^{-\int_{u}^{t} w(s)ds} du$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \psi \left(\max\{\|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\|\}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\eta}{2} \left[\|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\| + \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\|\right]$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{t} \left| v(u)h(\varphi(u) - r(u)) - h(\phi(u - r(u))) \right| e^{-\int_{u}^{t} w(s)ds} du \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \psi \left( \max\{ \|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\| \} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{2} \left[ \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\| + \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\| \right] \end{split}$$

for all  $\varphi, \phi \in A_{\gamma}$ . (ii)  $\left| \frac{z(t)}{1 - r'(t)} \right| + \lambda \le 1, \quad t \ge 0.$ 

Then  $\Lambda$  has a fixed point in  $A_{\gamma}$ .

PROOF. Define  $\alpha: U \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\alpha(\alpha, \rho) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha, \rho \in A_{\gamma} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $\alpha, \rho \in A_{\gamma}$  such that  $\alpha(\alpha, \rho) \ge 1$ , we have  $\alpha(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \rho) \ge 1$ ; hence  $\Lambda$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible. Let  $\varphi, \phi \in A_{\gamma}$ . Then consider

$$\begin{split} |(\Lambda\varphi)(t) - (\Lambda\phi)(t)| &\leq \left| \frac{z(t)}{1 - r'(t)} \right| \|\varphi - \phi\| \\ &+ \int_0^t |g(u)(\varphi(u - r(u))) - \phi(u - r(u))| e^{-\int_u^t w(s)ds} du \\ &+ \int_0^t |v(u)h\left(\varphi(u - r(u))\right) - h\left(\phi(u - r(u))\right)| e^{-\int_u^t w(s)ds} du \\ &\leq \left| \frac{z(t)}{1 - r'(t)} \right| \psi\left( \max\{\|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\|\} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \psi\left( \max\{\|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\|\} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\eta}{2} \left[ \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\| + \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\| \right] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \psi\left( \max\{\|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\|\} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\eta}{2} \left[ \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\| + \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\| \right] \\ &\leq \left( \left| \frac{z(t)}{1 - r'(t)} \right| + \lambda \right) \psi\left( \max\{\|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\|\} \right) \\ &+ \eta \left[ \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\| + \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\| \right] \\ &\leq \psi\left( \max\{\|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\|\} \right) \\ &+ \eta \left[ \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\| + \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\| \right] . \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\varphi,\phi) \left\| (\Lambda\varphi)(t) - (\Lambda\phi)(t) \right\| &= \rho(\Lambda\varphi,\Lambda\phi) \\ &\leq \psi \left( A(\varphi,\phi) \right) + \eta \left[ \rho(\varphi,\Lambda\varphi) + \rho(\phi,\Lambda\phi) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(\varphi, \phi) = \max \left\{ \|\varphi - \phi\|, \|\varphi - \Lambda\varphi\|, \|\phi - \Lambda\phi\| \right\}.$$

This implies that  $\Lambda$  is a  $\acute{Ciri\acute{c}}$ -Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction. Moreover,  $\alpha(\alpha, \rho) = 1$  for all fixed points  $\alpha, \rho \in A_{\gamma}$  of  $\Lambda$ . Consequently, all the axioms of Theorem 3.1 hold. Whence,  $\Lambda$  has a unique fixed point. It follows that (22) has a solution.

## Conclusion

In this note, an idea of  $\acute{C}iri\acute{c}$ -Kannan  $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction is introduced. This concept is used to obtain some new fixed point results in the context of *F*-metric space. The Presented notions herein improved the work of [14, Th.1.1] and the main theorem of [19]. Knowing that mapping is a basic concept in mathematics and allied areas of sciences, the authors are hopeful that this paper will contribute

not only to the area of metric fixed point but to the entire realm of science and engineering where mappings have applications.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the editors and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions and fruitful comments to improve this manuscript.

#### References

- L. A. Alnaser, D. Lateef, H. A. Fouad and J. Ahmad, Relation theoretic contraction results in F-metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. 12(2019) 337-344.
- [2] R. P. Argawal, M. A. El-Gabeily and D. O' Regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal., 87 (2008), 109-116.
- [3] J. H. Asl, S. Rezapour and N. Shahzad, On fixed points of α ψ-contractive multifunctions, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012(2012).
- [4] A. Azam and I. Beg, Fixed points of fuzzy contractive and fuzzy locally contractive maps, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 42(2009), 2836-2841.
- [5] A. Azam, R. Tabassum and M. Rashid, Coincidence and fixed point theorems of intuitionistic fuzzy mappings with applications, J. Math. Anal., 8(4)(2017), 56-77.
- [6] T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikanthan, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 65(2006), 1379-1393.
- S. Banach, Sur less operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Fund. Math., 3(1922), 133-181.
- [8] V. Berinde, General constructive fixed point theorems for Ciric-type almost contraction in metric spaces, Carpathian, J. Math., 24(2)(2008), 10-19.
- [9] A. Djoudi and R. Khemis, Fixed point techniques and stability for neutral nonlinear differential equations with unbounded delays, Georgian Math. J., 13(1)(2006), 25-34.
- [10] M. Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, J. London Math. Soc., 37(1962), 74-79.
- [11] A. Hussain and T. Kanwal, Existence and uniqueness for a neutral differential problems with unbounded delay via fixed point results, Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Institute, 172(2018), 481-490.
- [12] T. Hu and H. Rosen, Locally contractive and expansive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 86(1982), 656-662.
- [13] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 332, no.2, pp.146-1476, 2007.
- [14] M. Jlei and B. Samet, On a new generalization of metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 20(3)(2018), 128.
- [15] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Amer. Math. Soc., 76(4)(1969), 405-408.
- [16] E. Karapinar and B. Samet, Generalized  $\alpha \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **79**(2012), 3486, 17 pages.
- [17] V. Lakshmikanthan and Lj. Ciric, Fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 70(2009), 4341-4349.
- [18] S. B. Nadler, Multivalued contraction mappings, Pac. J. Math., **30**(1969), 475-488.
- [19] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha \psi$  contractive type mappings, Nonlinear Anal., **75**(2012), 2154-2165.

- [20] M. S. Shagari and A. Akbar, Fixed points of soft-set valued and Fuzzy Set-valued maps with applications. J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst., 37(3)(2019), 3865-3877.
- [21] M. S. Shagari, A. Monairah, A. Azam. and K. Shazia, Fixed points of  $(\varphi, F)$ -weak contractions on metric-like spaces with applications to integral Equations on time scales, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana, **27**(2021), 39.
- [22] M. S. Shagari and A. Azam, Fixed point theorems of fuzzy set-valued maps with applications, Probl. Anal. Anal., 9(27)(2)(2020), 68-86.
- [23] M. S. Shagari, S. Rashid, M. A. Khadijah and M. Alansari, On nonlinear Fuzzy Set-valued  $\varphi$ -contractions with applications, AIMS Math., **6**(10)(2021), 10431-10448.
- [24] M. S. Shagari, M. Balarabe and K. L. Yusuf, On L-fuzzy fixed point results and related applications, Arid Zone J. Basic Appl. Sci. 1(1)(2022), 94-108.
- [25] H. Smith, An introduction to delay differential equations with applications to the life sciences, Springer, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES, AHMADU BELLO UNI-VERSITY, ZARIA, NIGERIA

Email address: shagaris@ymail.com

School of Arts and Sciences, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria

Email address: surajmt951@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES, AHMADU BELLO UNI-VERSITY, ZARIA, NIGERIA

Email address: ialiyu@abu.edu.ng,

Received : February 2022 Accepted : March 2022